

John Jilly Jilly 3rd Qir 05





Customer Support General

Satisfaction and Yes/No Questions (Percentage):

81 Total Surveys Received

100%-85%

84%-65%

64%-0%

Standard Scale Questions (Average Rating):

5.00-4.00 2.74-0	FY 05		FY 06	
Question	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2
Overall Satisfaction (68)	81%			
Service meet your needs (56)	88%			
	FY 05		FY 06	
Question	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2
DRMS' success at keeping you informed (74)	3.57			
Accessibility of info on the DRMS web site (67)	4.07			
Usefulness of info on the DRMS web site (66)	3.97			
Voicemails being returned within 24 hours (47)	3.49			
Training DRMS provides to use equip/sup for silver recovery (21)	3.90			
Ability to provide equip/sup for silver recovery (21)	3.90			



Customer Support General Cont.

Satisfaction and Yes/No Questions (Percentage):

81 Total Surveys Received

100-85%

84%-65%

64%-0%

Standard Scale Questions (Average Rating):

5.00-4.00

3.99-2.75

2.74-0

FY 05		FY 06	
Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2
3.63			
3.70			
3.85			
3.80			
3.85			
4.00			
3.77			
3.93			
	Q3 3.63 3.70 3.85 3.80 3.85 4.00 3.77	Q3 Q4 3.63 3.70 3.85 3.80 3.85 4.00 3.77	Q3 Q4 Q1 3.63 3.70 3.85 3.80 3.85 4.00 3.77 3.77



Major Command

Satisfaction and Yes/No Questions (Percentage):

58 Total Surveys Received

100-85%

84%-65%

64%-0%

Standard Scale Questions (Average Rating):

5.00-4.00

3.99-2.75

2.74-0

	FY 05		FY 06	
Question	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2
Overall Satisfaction (46)	84%			
Service meet your needs (38)	84%			
	FY 05		FY 06	
Question	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2
DRMS' success at keeping you informed (57)	3.74			
Accessibility of info on the DRMS web site (51)	3.94			
Usefulness of info on the DRMS web site (49)	3.98			
Voicemails being returned within 24 hours (36)	3.58			
Ease of the process for getting concerns resolved(47)	3.72			
Courteousness/professionalism shown by your CSS(53)	4.23			



Major Command Cont.

Satisfaction and Yes/No Questions (Percentage): 58 Total Surveys Received

100-85% 84%-65% 64%-0% Standard Scale Questions (Average Rating): 5 00-4 00 3 99-2 75

0.00 4.00	FY 05		FY 06	
Question	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2
Knowledge/expertise of your DRMS CSS (53)	4.09			
Overall quality of customer service provided by CSS (53)	3.91			
	FY 05		FY 06	
Question	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2
Would your Command benefit from knowing more about DRMS and our services? (41)	83%			
Are you content with the frequency/methodology of the contact by your DRMS CSS? (45)	76%			
Do you recommend DRMS services to others? (43)	81%			
Does your CSS generally provide thorough responses that ultimately lead to resolutions? (43)	84%			
Does your CSS generally provided timely responses to your inquiries? (42)	86%			



Reutilization

Satisfaction and Yes/No Questions (Percentage):

59 Total Surveys Received

EV 05

EV 06

100-85%84%-65%64%-0%Standard Scale Questions (Average Rating):5.00-4.003.99-2.752.74-0

5.00-4.00	FI	F1 U5		F1 06		
Question	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2		
Overall Satisfaction (45)	98%					
Service meet your needs (35)	97%					
	FY 05		FY 06			
Question	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2		
Amount of time you have to screen excess property (49)	4.18					
Arrival of ordered items by the required delivery date (39)	3.92%					
Professionalism/knowledge/courteousness of reutilization personnel (57)	4.39					
Quality/clarity of Reutilization instructions (58)	4.19					
Ease of use of the Automated Want Lists (30)	4.13					



Reutilization Cont.

Satisfaction and Yes/No Questions (Percentage):

59 Total Surveys Received

100-85%

84%-65%

64%-0%

Standard Scale Questions (Average Rating):

5.00-4.00

3.99-2.75

2.74-0

	FY 05		FY 06	
Question	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2
Quality of items produced by Automated Want Lists (30)	4.10			
Response rate of Reutilization personnel to your request for additional item description or item photographs (37)	4.19			
The DRMS electronic MILSTRIP ordering process (36)	4.22			
The chances of you using the DRMS Reutilization program to meet your needs in the future (47)	4.43			



Comments Overview

- 53 RESPONDENTS PROVIDED COMMENTS
- 35 RESPONDENTS PROVIDED POC INFORMATION
 - Customer Support General 10
 - MAJCOM 12
 - Reutilization 13

Recurring Issues - Positive

- Employee helpfulness, extra efforts
- Improvement with CSS
- Kudos to DRMO folks by name
- Specific situations where we helped

Recurring Issues - Negative

- Turn-in Procedures
- Understaffed DRMOs
- Paperwork rejected
- Distrust of Reut condition codes